Case 1:17-cr-0	00630-ER Document 58	5 Filed 10/10/23 Page 1 of 3
Criminal Notice of Appeal -	Form A	
	NOTICE OF AF	PPEAL OCT 10 2023
	United States Distr	S.D.N.
	Southern District of	New York
Caption: (/SA	v.	(70
Weenwood		Docket No.: 17-CY-630  (District Court Judge)
Notice is hereby given that	Matter Russell Lee	appeals to the United States Court of
	uit from the judgment, other	Sept-7 order of MyINJ UNSTALING (specify)
This appeal concerns: Convide Defendant found guilty by ple		_ Conviction & Sentence _ Other _ V
	mber 1, 1987? Yes   V No [	N/A [
Date of sentence:	N/A []	
Bail/Jail Disposition: Committ	ted Not committed   N/	A
Appellant is represented by c	counsel? Yes   No     If yes	s, provide the following information:
G(ENWI); Defendant's Counsel:	Laura School Pater	Appellant-
Counsel's Address:	3000	Matter Russell Lee
Counsel's Phone:	2123362117	POBOX 20047 NYC (0017 H 7187163540
Assistant U.S. Attorney:	Kevin Mend	
AUSA's Address:	Kevin. meade us	500).900
AUSA's Phone:	212637 2211	
		MWAT Jec Signature

## **U.S. District Court**

## **New York Southern - Manhattan**

Receipt Date: Oct 10, 2023 11:37AM

MATTHEW RUSSELL LEE

Rcpt. No: 21882 Trans. Date: Oct 10, 2023 11:37AM Cashier ID: #EN

CD	Purpose	Case/Party/Defendant	Qty	Price	Amt
203	Notice of Appeal/Docketing Fee	**	1	505.00	505.00

CD	Tender		Amt
СС	Credit Card		\$505.00
		Total Due Prior to Payment:	\$505.00
		Total Tendered:	\$505.00
		Total Cash Received:	\$0.00
		Cash Change Amount:	\$0.00

Comments: 17CR630-5 ER

Only when the bank clears the check, money order, or verifies credit of funds, is the fee or debt officially paid or discharged. A \$53 fee will be charged for a returned check.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

- against -

KARL SEBASTIAN GREENWOOD,

Defendant.

**ORDER** 

17-cr-630 (ER)

## RAMOS, D.J.:

On August 29, 2023, Defendant submitted his sentencing submission, which included portions that were redacted and under seal. Doc. 566. The next day, Matthew Russell Lee of the Inner City Press moved to unseal the sentencing submission. Doc. 567. Defendant opposes unsealing (Doc. 571), and the Government takes no position (Doc. 573).

The Court finds that unsealing is not warranted in that the redactions in Defendant's sentencing submission are appropriately limited to Defendant and his family's medical information, his family's identities and personal information, and other similarly protected information. Accordingly, the motion to unseal Defendant's sentencing submission, Doc. 567, is DENIED.

It is SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 7, 2023

New York, New York

EDGARDO RAMOS, U.S.D.J.